

01005/01015 Matematik 1, E21, Essayopgave - Løsningsforslag

Note: See some alternative solutions on the next page.

1. From the figure, $f(\mathbf{v}_1)$ is proportional to \mathbf{v}_1 , therefore \mathbf{v}_1 is an eigenvector. $f(\mathbf{v}_2)$ is not proportional to \mathbf{v}_2 , so this is not an eigenvector.
2. We have $f(\mathbf{v}_1) = 3\mathbf{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2$, so $a = 3, b = 0$. To find c and d we solve:

$$f(\mathbf{v}_2) = (1, 7) = c(3, 1) + d(-1, 3),$$

for c and d . The solution is $c = 1, d = 2$. The mapping matrix in the basis v is:

$${}_vF_v = [{}_v f(\mathbf{v}_1), {}_v f(\mathbf{v}_2)] = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, in the basis v , the coordinates for \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ respectively, so

$${}_v f(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. (a) It's a square of sidelength $|\mathbf{v}_1| = \sqrt{10}$, so has area $A = 10$.
 (b) A linear map stretches areas by the absolute value of the determinant so

$$A = |10 \det(f)| = 10 |\det {}_v F_v| = 10 \cdot 6 = 60.$$

4. The change of basis matrix and its inverse are:

$${}_e M_v = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad {}_v M_e = {}_e M_v^{-1} = \frac{1}{10} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So the mapping matrix in the standard basis is:

$${}_e F_e = {}_e M_v {}_v F_v {}_v M_e = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 6 \\ 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. Working in the e -basis, we find the eigenvalues and vectors for ${}_e F_e$:

$$\lambda_1 = 3, \quad \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \quad \mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This means, the mapping matrix is diagonal, $\text{diag}(3, 2)$ with respect to the basis $w = (\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$.

6. The map is diagonalizable if and only if all eigenvalues have the same geometric multiplicity as algebraic multiplicity. Working in the v basis, we have: ${}_v F_v = \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 \\ 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$. If we choose $k = 7$, we find there is one eigenvalue:

$$\lambda = 7, \quad \text{am}(\lambda) = 2, \quad \text{gm}(\lambda) = 1.$$

Therefore, f is not diagonalizable for $k = 7$. (For other values of k it is diagonalizable).

Some alternative solutions

1 $f(\mathbf{v}_1) = (9, 3) = 3(3, 1) = 3\mathbf{v}_1$, therefore \mathbf{v}_1 is an eigenvector.

On the other hand, if we try to solve $f(\mathbf{v}_2) = \lambda\mathbf{v}_2$ we would have:

$$f(\mathbf{v}_2) = (1, 7) = \lambda(-1, 3).$$

This gives the incompatible pair of equations:

$$\begin{cases} 1 = -\lambda \\ 7 = 3\lambda \end{cases}$$

so there is no solution and therefore \mathbf{v}_2 is not an eigenvector.

3 (a) It's the area of the parallelogram spanned by \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 , so we can use the formula

$$|\det[\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2]| = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 10.$$

(b) We can use $f(A) = f(\mathbf{v}_1) = (9, 3)$ and $f(C) = f(\mathbf{v}_2) = (1, 7)$, and the area of the parallelogram spanned by these is: $\left| \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right| = 60.$

4 We have

$${}_eF_v = [{}_e f(\mathbf{v}_1), {}_e f(\mathbf{v}_2)] = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the mapping matrix in the standard basis is:

$${}_eF_e = {}_eF_v {}_vM_e = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 6 \\ 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5 Working in the v basis, we find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of ${}_vF_v$ to be:

$$\lambda_1 = 3, \quad \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 are coordinates for these vectors in the \mathbf{v} basis (since we used ${}_vF_v$), so the meaning is that the mapping matrix is diagonal, $\text{diag}(3, 2)$ in the basis:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = -\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2.$$

Note: comparing with the answer we got in the first version,

$${}_e\mathbf{u}_1 = {}_e\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{w}_1, \quad {}_e\mathbf{u}_2 = {}_e(-\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2\mathbf{w}_1,$$

so the basis is the same up to a scaling of \mathbf{w}_1 .